

11



UNITED STATE OF EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trasemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APF	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
(9/556,143	04/21/00	SCHACHAR		R	PRES06-00163	
Γ				\neg	EXAMINER		
	23990		QM12/0813	•			
-	DOCKET CLERK P.O. DRAWER 8008		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
I	ALLAS TX	75380				5	
					3739 Date Mailed:		
					•	08/13/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STANDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

			•					
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication	ation filed on Am 21, coco	This action is made final.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire								
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT	S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION	N:						
1. Notice of References Cited by E. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, 5. Information on How to Effect Dra	PTO-1449.	2. Notice of Draftsman's P 4. Notice of Informal Pater 6.	4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.					
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		•						
1. ☐ Claims 1+ 31-44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claims	 	an	withdrawn from consideration.					
2. 5 Claims 2 -30			have been cancelled.					
3. Claims			are allowed.					
4. ☑ Claims / + 3/-44			are rejected.					
6. Claims			•					
7. This application has been filed with i	nformal drawings under 37 C.F.F	R. 1.85 which are acceptable for exam	ination numoses					
8. Formal drawings are required in resp	*		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
9. The corrected or substitute drawings are acceptable; I not acceptable	have been received on e (see explanation or Notice of D		C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948).					
10. The proposed additional or substitut examiner; disapproved by the ex	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ aminer (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the					
11. The proposed drawing correction, file	d has	been approved; disapproved	(see explanation).					
12. Acknowledgement is made of the cla	im for priority under 35 U.S.C. 1	19. The certified copy has ☐ been rifiled on	eceived not been received					
13. Since this application apppears to be accordance with the practice under E	in condition for allowance except x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	t for formal matters, prosecution as to 53 O.G. 213.	the merits is closed in					
14. Other			0.					

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

The substitute specification filed April 21, 2000 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b)because: the statement as to lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and a properly marked up version of the substitute specification has not been supplied.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed disclosure does not mention use of a laser.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 31 is indefinite because what exactly is intended to be encompassed by "operable in unclean to weaken the selera" since this could encompass, for example gouging the selera with the laser in casing. Claims 32-39 are indefinite because they do not further limit the structure of the claims from which they depend.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,197,056. This is a double patenting rejection.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by .

Fankhauser et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

The amendment filed

April 21, 2000 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Figures 10 and 11.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:bhw

August 1, 2001

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson.

MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.